

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.3476 of 2018

Date of Decision: 18.04.2018

Darshan Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. S.P.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amarpreet Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

On 01.02.2018, following order was passed:-

"Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that Section 66-D of the Information Technology Act, 2000 is a complete code. In view of Section 77-B of the Act, the offence punishable with imprisonment of 3 years or above shall be cognizable and bailable.

Adjourned to 01.03.2018.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation on 05.02.2018 at 11 AM and in the event of his arrest, he shall be

enlarged on ad interim bail, subject to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, petitioner shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

It is made clear that if the petitioner does not cooperate in the investigation, the interim protection granted to the petitioner shall be vacated.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and recoveries have already been effected by the police.

Learned State Counsel on instructions from SI Daljit Singh submits that hard disk has already been recovered and has been sent to FSL. No other article is to be recovered from the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the complainant however submits that some more recoveries are to be made from the petitioner.

Considering the stand taken by the prosecution, at this stage, without commenting anything on merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to make the order dated 01.02.2018 as absolute. Ordered accordingly.

Petition stands disposed of.

April 18, 2018

Prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No