

206 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-33805-2017

Date of decision : 29.05.2018

Navneet Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. K.S. Aulakh, DAG, Punjab.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

Mr. Marinal Sharma, Advocate has put in appearance and filed *Vakalatnama* on behalf of respondent No.2, which is taken on record.

Learned State counsel states that they have neither informed about the compromise nor any document has been provided to them.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 states that a compromise has been effected and the petitioner has already joined the investigation.

In view of said circumstances, the petition is allowed. The interim order dated 26.02.2018 is hereby made absolute subject to all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

29.05.2018

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No