

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

202

CRM-M-33706 of 2017

Date of Decision: 12.02.2018

Ravneet Kaur Shergill

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr. F. S. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. K.S. Lakhanpal, Advocate
for the complainant.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.172 dated 24.07.2017 under Section 420 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sadar, Patiala, District Patiala.

In a nut shell allegations against the petitioner are with regard to having duped the complainant for a sum of ₹4,00,000/- on the pretext of sending him abroad.

The instant petition came up for preliminary hearing on 12.09.2017, whereupon, submission made by counsel representing the petitioner had been taken note of that the accused/petitioner is ready and willing to return a sum of ₹4,00,000/- to the complainant.

Subsequently, on 13.11.2017, counsel had raised a submission that an amount of ₹1,00,000/- would be paid back by 20.11.2017 and the balance would be paid within a period of two months thereafter.

Mr. Virk, counsel for the petitioner during the course of arguments today, concedes to the fact that the amount has not been repaid to the complainant. Rather, he pleads no instructions.

Keeping in view the conduct of the petitioner and in the considered view of this Court, petitioner is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

Petition dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

12.02.2018

anju rani

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>No</i>