

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

**CRM-M No.3463 of 2018
Date of Decision: 25.01.2018**

FARJANA AND ANR

....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Aakash Dalal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

In this petition, petitioners have prayed for issuance of necessary directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 for protection of their lives and personal liberties from being invaded at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 8.

Though pleadings are somewhat vague in terms of intended matrimonial relationship, but this Court is not concerned about the same for the time being as lives and personal liberties of the petitioners are being threatened. In the context of threat perception at the hands of private respondents, petitioners have allegedly moved representation dated 23.01.2018 (Annexure P-3) to Superintendent of Police, District Mewat at Nuh.

It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioner No.1 has already taken divorce from her earlier spouse and petitioner No.2 is still un-married.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass at this stage, there is no necessity to call upon the respondent-State to file reply.

At this juncture, respondent No.2 can be asked to have a fair look on the grievance of the petitioners in order to ascertain veracity of allegations made by the petitioners. Respondent No.2 would be at liberty to devise his/her own mechanism to ascertain the truth. He/she would also be at liberty to join the petitioners or any other person acquainted with facts in issue. In case respondent No.2 finds that there is some truth in the allegations of the petitioners, then he/she shall proceed to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

The aforesaid order is being passed at this stage without meaning anything on the merits of the case. Respondent No.2 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any observation made by the Court in terms of pleadings at this stage. This order shall not debar the official respondents from proceeding against any of the party in accordance with law in case they are involved in some other case. It would be appreciated if the representation filed by the petitioners is

decided within a period of one month from today keeping in view
the threat perception shown by the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of.

25.01.2018

anita

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No