

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3457-2018

Date of Decision:01.02.2018

Dalip Singh @ Neeta

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Mukesh Kumar Bhatnagar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Since the recovery of the bottles stated to have been recovered from the petitioner has obviously been made, with the petitioner also stated to be a permanent resident of the address given in the petition, and the offence being one under the Excise Act, he would be admitted to bail, upon his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the of Area/Duty Magistrate.

However, there being another case also stated to be pending against him under the same provisions of the Excise Act, the bail and surety bonds to be furnished by the petitioner shall be of an adequate amount as per the assessment of that Court.

Disposed of.

February 01, 2018

dharamvir

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO