

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-34547-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-10.10.2018.

Vinod Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Union Territory of Chandigarh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Dhruv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate for
Mr. Gagandeep S. Wasu, APP, U.T. Chandigarh.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In the instant, petition, petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail under the provisions of Section 438 Cr.P.C. in FIR No.365 dated 19.09.2016 under Sections 417/419/420/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Sector 17, Chandigarh.

2.) This Court vide order dated 13.08.2018 had directed the petitioner to join investigation and in the event of arrest by the Investigating Officer, petitioner was ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer.

3.) Learned State counsel, on instructions from Sub Inspector Prem Singh, submitted that in pursuance to the order dated 13.08.2018, petitioner appeared before the Investigating Officer and joined the investigation. It is

further submitted that petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation in

the present case.

4.) In view of these facts and circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 13.08.2018, granting interim bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. Petitioner shall remain bound by the conditions as envisaged in Section 438 (2) of Cr.P.C.

5.) The petitioner shall not in any way indulge in any criminal activities and he shall not influence the witnesses or otherwise interfere with the fair trial.

6.) Petition stands allowed.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

October 10, 2018.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.