IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No. M-34488-2018
Date of Decision: 30.08.2018

Sukhwinder Singh

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. G.S. Dhindsa, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

...

Inderjit Singh, J.

Petitioner Sukhwinder Singh has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.15 dated 27.02.2018, registered at Police Station G.R.P. Patiala, District Patiala, under Sections 399, 401, 379-B and 411 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that FIR, in the present case, has been registered on the basis of secret information that the present petitioner and co-accused were present near piles of shacks at Rajpura Yard alongwith deadly weapons and were preparing to commit a dacoity in train. Thereafter, raid was conducted and the present petitioner was apprehended at the spot. One iron rod and ₹1,000/- have been recovered.

Jasmine Kaur
2018.08.30 16:08
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

The petitioner has been in custody since 27.02.2018. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

30.08.2018
Jasmine Kaur

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes
Whether reportable No

Jasmine Kaur
2018.08.30 16:08
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document