

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

216

CRM-M-34487-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13.09.2018

Subash @ Sedhu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL.

Present:- Mr. Anshumaan Dalal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. D.R. Singla, AAG, Haryana.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

1 Petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR no.379 dated 31.07.2017, registered at Police Station Dadri, District Charkhi Dadri, Haryana, under Sections 15, 20 and 27-A of the NDPS Act, 1985 and Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 of IPC.

2 Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed his reliance upon order dated 02.08.2018 passed in CRM-M-22759-2018 (Annexure P-2) whereby co-accused, identically situated, has been granted regular bail.

3 Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for regular bail on the ground that there are ten other criminal cases pending against the petitioner.

4 The aforementioned argument of the learned State counsel is rebutted by learned counsel for the petitioner by stating that the other cases were not under the NDPS Act and that the petitioner had been acquitted in all of them. Certified copies of the judgments of the acquittal have been produced.

5 In view of the fact that the co-accused identically situated as

the petitioner has been granted regular bail vide order dated 02.08.2018 (Annexure P-2), I deem it appropriate to release the petitioner on regular bail.

6 Accordingly, petition is allowed and the petitioner-Subhash @ Sedhu is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court concerned.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

September 13, 2018
jyoti3

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>