

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

249

CRM-M-3447-2018

Date of Decision: October 15, 2018

Barinder Kumar and another

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. D.S. Gurna, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. R.S. Bains, DAG, Punjab.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed for direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to initiate appropriate action against respondents No.4 to 7 and to protect life and liberty of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the brother of the petitioners has purchased some land from respondent No.4. However, the sale deed was not executed and therefore, a suit for specific performance was filed, which was decreed. Consequently, in execution, possession was handed over to the brother of the petitioners. Respondent No.5 to 7, claiming to be in possession of an earlier agreement to sell, started threatening the petitioners and their brother to hand over possession of the land. Thus, the present petition was filed.

The State of Punjab has filed its reply, according to which the *Dharna* of respondents No.5 to 8 has been removed from the spot. Now, there is no threat to life and liberty of the petitioners.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. It is however, ordered that in case the petitioners perceive any threat from the private respondents in the future, respondent No.3 shall ensure that no harm comes to life, liberty and property. The private respondents are restrained from taking the law into their own hands. They are, however, at liberty to avail any civil remedy available to them in law.

October 15, 2018

*sonia arora***[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No