IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

114+260 **CRM-M-34430-2018 (O&M)**
Date of Decision: 10.12.2018

M/s Skymark Motor Pvt. Ltd. And others .... Petitioners

Versus

Income Tax Officer .... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: Mr.Mandeep K. Dhot, Advocate for
Mr.J.S.Dadwal, Advocate
for the applicant/petitioners.

Ms. Urvashi Dhugga, Sr. Standing Counsel
for the respondent.

****

Shekher Dhawan, J.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent contended that the matter has since been compounded under Section 279(2) of the Income Tax Act, 1961 and compounding amount is to be deposited by the petitioner within a period of one week.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the learned trial Judge to pass an appropriate order on the basis of compromise having been effected between the parties while taking into consideration to the effect whether the amount has been deposited or not?

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

December 10, 2018
anju

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No