

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-33476 of 2015

Date of Decision: July 18, 2018

Mulakh Raj and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: None for the petitioners
 Mr. Satish Saini, AAG Haryana
 Mr. B.S. Dhillon, Advocate
 for respondents No. 4 to 12

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is not represented.

Perusal of the order sheets show that none had appeared for the petitioners on some of the earlier dates as well.

Reply of the official respondents is on record. According to this reply, there is on going litigation between the parties on many occasions earlier. The complaints were filed by the petitioners against the private respondents and on each occasion, the matter was compromised and the complaints were filed. Now, the police has conducted an enquiry and the same does not reveal that the life and liberty of the petitioners is threatened.

In view of the above, the present petition does not survive and is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous. The liberty is, however, granted to the petitioners to get the same revive in case any grievance remains.

July 18, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether Reportable : Yes/No**