

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

1. CRM-M-344-2018(O&M) Date of Decision: 23.01.2018

Gurjit Singh --Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab --Respondent

2. CRM-M-397-2018(O&M)

Jinder Mander @ Baljinder Singh --Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab --Respondent

3. CRM-M-401-2018(O&M)

Sukhvir Singh @ Vicky --Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab --Respondent

4. CRM-M-405-2018(O&M)

Sunny @ Sandeep Singh --Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab --Respondent

5. CRM-M-887-2018(O&M)

Baljit Singh --Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab --Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. G.B.S. Gill, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, A.A.G., Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

This order shall dispose of the afore-mentioned five petitions as the same have been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C seeking the benefit of regular bail to the petitioners in case F.I.R. No.104 dated 25.8.2017 under sections 436, 34 I.P.C, sections 3, 4 of Public Property (Prevention of Damages) Act (sections 153A, 143, 124A, 120-B, 148, 149, 109 I.P.C and sections 3, 4 of Explosive Act were added later on), registered at Police

CRM-M-344-2018(O&M) & other connected petitions -2-

Station, Phul, District Bathinda. (However sections 34, 153A, 124A I.P.C were deleted and challan was presented under sections 436, 143, 120B, 109, 148, 149 I.P.C and sections 3, 4 of Public Property (Prevention of Damage) Act and sections 3, 4 of Explosive Act No.06, 1908).

Learned counsel for the parties have been heard.

FIR came to be registered on the statement of Sukhwinder Singh son of Darshan Singh, who stated himself to be working as a Security Guard, Sewa Kendar at village Bhai Rupa, district Bathinda. It was alleged that on 25.8.2017 a number of people had gathered being agitated on account of the conviction of Sant Gurmeet Ram Rahim and had caused damage to the articles lying inside the Sewa Kendar by putting the same on fire.

The names of the present petitioners do not figure in the FIR and they are sought to be implicated on the basis of supplementary statement recorded of the complainant or on the basis of disclosure statement suffered by a co-accused.

Petitioners were arrested on different dates between 28.8.2017 and 21.10.2017.

Investigation in the case is complete and the challan has been presented. Trial is at the very initial stage and would take time to conclude.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Amrik Singh concedes that no recovery has been effected from the present petitioners.

Co-accused Jora Singh has been granted benefit of bail by this Court vide order dated 6.12.2017 passed in CRM-M-42586-2017.

Without making any observations on merits, all the five petitions as mentioned herein above, are allowed. Petitioners be enlarged on bail subject to satisfaction of Trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Bathinda.

Petitions disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

23.01.2018

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No