

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34383 of 2018.

Decided on:-August 10, 2018.

Harpreet Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present:- Mr. Arjun Veer Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

**HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)**

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to the official respondents No.2 and 3 to conduct investigation on the representations (Annexures P-3 and P-4) through some independent agency like crime Branch or some other higher rank police officer.

Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Sakiri Vasu Versus State of U.P. and others (2008) 2 SCC 409*** has held as under:

*“We have elaborated on the above matter because we often find that when someone has a grievance that his FIR has not been registered at the police station and/or a proper investigation is not being done by the police, he rushes to the High Court to file a writ petition or a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. We are of the opinion that the High Court should not encourage this practice and should ordinarily refuse to interfere in such matters, and relegate the petitioner to his alternating remedy, firstly under Section 154(3) and Section 36 Cr.P.C.*

*before the concerned police officers, and if that is of no avail, by approaching the concerned Magistrate under Section 156(3).*

*If a person has a grievance that his FIR has not been registered by the police station his first remedy is to approach the Superintendent of Police under Section 154(3) Cr.P.C. or other police officer referred to in Section 36 Cr.P.C. If despite approaching the Superintendent of Police or the officer referred to in Section 36 his grievance still persists, then he can approach a Magistrate under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. instead of rushing to the High Court by way of a writ petition or a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Moreover he has a further remedy of filing a criminal complaint under Section 200 Cr.P.C. Why then should writ petitions or Section 482 petitions be entertained when there are so many alternative remedies?”*

In the present case, prayer made by the petitioner is nothing but issuance of a direction to the official respondents for registration of an F.I.R. against the respondents No.4 to 6. However, in view of the aforesaid observations made by Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Sakiri Vasu's case (supra)***, it is apparent that no such direction can be issued by this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. as the efficacious remedy is already available to the petitioner for the relief claimed in this petition.

Therefore, this Court does not find any merit in the present petition and the same is, accordingly, dismissed.

**August 10, 2018**  
Yag Dutt

**(HARI PAL VERMA)**  
**JUDGE**

|                            |     |
|----------------------------|-----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes |
| Whether Reportable:        | No  |