

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-34322-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 12.11.2018**

PARDEEP SINGH

.....Petitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. J.S. Jaidka, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

--

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner says that in terms of order dated 10.08.2018 the petitioner had appeared before the Court below and had deposited Rs.1,500/-. A copy of the order has been placed on record in this regard. Counsel says that because it was a mis-communication on his part, therefore, he could not communicate on the last date.

This is a petition for anticipatory bail. The petitioner had already appeared before the Court below in terms of the order dated 10.08.2018. The cost imposed on that day had already been deposited on time. Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 10.08.2018 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

12.11.2018

Sunil

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No