

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-34304-2018(O&M)
Date of Decision: 10.08.2018**

Virender

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: - Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. has been made for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.66, dated 29.03.2018, registered under Section 136 of the Electricity Act, 2003 and Section 379 IPC, at Police Station Panipat Sadar, District Panipat.

According to the prosecution, on 23.03.2018 around 60-65 meters AB Cable of HT line of the Electricity Department was stolen. Co-accused namely Sushil was apprehended at the spot, who in his disclosure statement, named the petitioner as his accomplice for commission of aforesaid crime.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. He was not apprehended at the spot. He has been falsely implicated on the basis of alleged disclosure statement of Sushil. No recovery is to be effected from the petitioner.

In view of the totality of the circumstances and the fact that the petitioner was found involved in stealing AB Cable belonging to a Semi Government body, he does not deserve any concession of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

10.08.2018
monika

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No