

**206 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Criminal Misc. No. M- 3319 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : February 28, 2018

Ravinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

LISA GILL, J.

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 1 dated 03.01.2017 under Section 498A IPC registered at Police Station Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur.

It is informed that during the pendency of this petition, matrimonial ties between the petitioner and respondent No. 2 have been resumed. They have been living together since the past one month separately from the mother of the petitioner though with the petitioner's brother at Hoshiarpur.

The petitioner and respondent No. 2, duly identified by their counsel, are present in Court. Both of them affirm that matrimonial ties have been resumed. The petitioner undertakes to take

care of respondent No. 2 and afford the affection and respect due to her. Respondent No. 2 also undertakes to discharge all her matrimonial obligations and live in peace and harmony with the petitioner. Respondent No. 2 states that she has no objection, in case, this petition is allowed provided she is not subjected to ill-treatment again.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from SI Jasbir Singh, verifies that the petitioner has joined investigation. He is not involved in any other criminal case.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioner is likely to abscond or that he is likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts in the Court, if released on bail.

Keeping in view the peculiar facts and circumstances noted above but without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, it is considered just and expedient to allow this petition. Consequently, order dated 06.02.2017 is made absolute.

However, liberty is afforded to respondent No.2 to move an appropriate application in this matter, in case she is subjected to any ill-treatment again.

February 28, 2018
rts

(Lisa Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No