

CRM-M-3408-2018

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3408-2018

Date of Decision: 06.02.2018

Manohar Lal

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Vijay Sangwan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, D.A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only allegation against the petitioner is that he in connivance with his other co-accused, including one of his sons, tried to get his other son (Vikram @ Papla) and another undertrial prisoner, i.e. Dirender @ Jhuthar, freed from custody when they were brought to Court on a hearing.

He further submits that he has been in custody for more than four months.

Learned counsel for the State submits that petitioners' son (Vikram @ Papla), actually escaped and as per the petitioners' mobile tower location, he was present along with his other son, Mintu, and other co-accused, at the time when the conspiracy was hatched one night before (at the petitioners' house).

Having considered the aforesaid arguments on both sides and without making any comment on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioner, he admittedly not having been on the spot when the occurrence took place, whereas his son with whom he is stated to have conspired, i.e. Mintu alias Lala as

also one Sandeep @ Sindhia and Pushkar were present, seeing also the period of custody that he has already undergone, the petition is allowed. The petitioner shall be admitted to bail upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

February 06, 2018
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No.