

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

274

CRM-M-34066-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 08.10.2018

Rajbir and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Devender Arya, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.(ORAL)

In the present petition, petitioners seeks anticipatory bail under the provision of Section 438 Cr.P.C. in FIR No.368 dated 10.07.2018 under Sections 380, 448, 506/34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Mohendergarh.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that one of the allegation is arising out of civil dispute between the petitioners and the complainant and others. In this regard, FIR has already been registered by one of the aggrieved person i.e. FIR No. 325 dated 16.06.2017. Perusal of the revenue records read with civil suit which is pending consideration, petitioners are entitled for anticipatory bail.

3. On the other hand learned State counsel resisted the claim of the petitioner pursuant to reply filed by State. Learned State counsel fail to apprise this court for what purpose custodial interrogation is necessary.

4. Petitioners are directed to appear before the Trial court/Illaqa Magistrate and join the proceedings. In the event of their appearance, Trial

court/Illaq Magistrate shall release them on interim bail on their furnishing bail/surety bonds to his entire satisfaction. They will remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C

5. However, the petitioners will not in any way indulge in any criminal activities and they will also not influence the witnesses or other wise interfere with the fair investigation.

08.10.2018
pooja saini

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasons	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No