

**CRM-M-33987-2018,
CRM-M-35054-2018 and
CRM-M-35873-2018**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:21.09.2018

1. CRM-M-33987-2018

Angrej Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

2. CRM-M-35054-2018

Gurmeet Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

AND

3. CRM-M-35873-2018

Gagandeep Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bhatheja, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.S.Jagpal, Advocate,
for the complainant.

**CRM-M-33987-2018,
CRM-M-35054-2018 and
CRM-M-35873-2018**

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-33987-2018, filed by petitioner-Angrej Kaur, CRM-M-35054-2018, filed by petitioner-Gurmeet Kaur and CRM-M-35873-2018, filed by petitioner-Gagandeep Singh, under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for brevity, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.73 dated 15.07.2018, registered at Police Station Mehna, District Moga, under Sections 306 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in all these petitions. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and complainant also appeared through his counsel. They contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that FIR, in the present case, was got registered by complainant-Sukhdev Singh. As per the prosecution version, Gurpreet Singh, son of complainant got married with petitioner-Gurmeet Kaur. However, there was some matrimonial dispute between Gurpreet Singh and Gurmeet Kaur and she went her parental village much before the occurrence. The only allegation against the present petitioners alongwith others are that they used to make telephone calls to Gurpreet Singh and started giving him abuses through relatives etc. Gurpreet Singh had committed suicide by consuming some poisonous substance.

**CRM-M-33987-2018,
CRM-M-35054-2018 and
CRM-M-35873-2018**

In pursuance of the interim orders dated 09.08.2018 passed in CRM-M-33987-2018, dated 16.08.2018 passed in CRM-M-35054-2018 and dated 21.08.2018 passed in CRM-M-35873-2018 by this Court, the petitioners, who are mother-in-law, wife and brother-in-law of the deceased, respectively have already joined the investigation. They are not required for custodial interrogation. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by sending them to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find merit in all these petitions and the same are allowed. The order dated 09.08.2018 passed in CRM-M-33987-2018, dated 16.08.2018 passed in CRM-M-35054-2018 and dated 21.08.2018 passed in CRM-M-35873-2018, granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

21.09.2018
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No