

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

205

CRM-M-33984-2018

Date of Decision:09.10.2018

Tarun (named as Tarun Duggal in FIR)

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

**Present: Mr. Narinder Singh Behgal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

Mr. Tanvir Joshi, A.A.G., Punjab.

**Ms. Manjari Joshi, Advocate,
for the complainant.**

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.70 dated 17.07.2018 under Sections 420, 409, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Cantt. Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the order dated 09.08.2018 passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation and has also deposited a bank draft No.543055 dated 10.08.2018 for a sum of ₹62,500/- before the Investigating Officer. He further states that the petitioner is ready to pay the remaining amount of ₹62,500/- to the complainant.

At this stage, learned counsel for the complainant states that the

complainant would be satisfied in case remaining amount of ₹62,500/- is paid to the complainant within 15 days from today. She further states that she has contacted her client on phone and she has no objection in case FIR in question is quashed provided the amount is paid to the complainant within the aforesaid period. She also states that the draft as deposited by the petitioner with the Investigating Officer be handed over to the complainant.

Learned State Counsel has handed over the bank draft for a sum of ₹62,500/- to the learned counsel for the complainant in the Court itself. On instructions from ASI Balwinder Singh, he states that he does not dispute the aforesaid fact and the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is no more required at this stage.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is no more required, present petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 09.08.2018 is made absolute. The petitioner shall make the outstanding amount to the complainant within the period as assured.

However, if required, the petitioner shall continue to join investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the terms and conditions, as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

October 09, 2018
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No