

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.110

CRM-M-33981-2018

Date of decision : 08.08.2018

Manpreet Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. J.S. Warring, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for direction to the official respondents to protect his life and liberty as well as that of his family members, which is allegedly being threatened by the private respondents.

The case of the petitioner is that he got married with respondent No.4 because a promise was held out that he would also be sent to New Zealand if money was paid for getting respondent No.4 settled in New Zealand and for her study. It is, further stated that a sum in excess of Rs.15 lakhs has been paid to the private respondents, but now they have reneged on their promise. They are now threatening the petitioner and his family members with dire consequences in case the refund of the money is demanded. A detailed representation, annexure P1, has been submitted before respondent No.2, but no action has been taken thereupon till date.

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.S. Bains, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3. Let four copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

In view of the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to call upon respondent Nos.4 to 8, at this stage.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to objectively consider the representation, annexure P1, and to take action in

accordance with law, if it is felt necessary. It shall be ensured that no harm comes to the life of the petitioner and his family members. The needful be done within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

08.08.2018

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No