

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-3397 of 2018

Date of Decision: January 29, 2018

Sukhdev Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Rajeev K. Kapila, Advocate
for the petitioner

-. -

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed seeking directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner as he is being harassed by respondents No. 6 and 7.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1 to 5. Let six copies of petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

In view of the nature of order that is being passed there is no necessity to issue notice to private respondents No. 6 and 7 at this stage.

A representation dated 14.11.2017 (Annexure P-1) has been submitted before respondent No. 4 i.e. SSP, District Tarn Taran.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No. 4 to enquire into the allegations made in the representation (Annexure P-1) and take appropriate action in accordance with law, in case any offence is revealed through the enquiry within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

January 29, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No