

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3391 of 2018(O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.01.2018

Rishipal

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Abhishek Sanghi, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in Criminal Complaint No.269-1 of 2012 dated 01.03.2012 under sections 323, 342, 506, 511, 34 I.P.C filed in the court of S.D.J.M., Safidon.

During the course of arguments it stands conceded by counsel that the petitioner had been summoned in the afore-noticed complaint vide order dated 18.4.2012. Petitioner preferred a revision petition against the summoning order and which was dismissed vide order dated 11.5.2015, passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Jind. Petitioner, thereafter, even chose to file a petition before this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C against the summoning order dated 18.4.2012 and the same was also dismissed in terms of order dated 19.10.2016. Petitioner having not joined the trial proceedings was ultimately declared a Proclaimed Offender vide order dated 23.2.2015.

It is not even the case made out on behalf of the petitioner that he was not aware of the proceedings.

At this stage, counsel has fairly apprised the Court that even a petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C assailing the order declining the petitioner a Proclaimed Offender, has been declined.

In the considered view of this Court, the conduct of the petitioner disentitles him from the concession of pre-arrest bail.

Petition, accordingly, is dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

29.01.2018

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No