

112.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33816-2018

Date of decision:07.08.2018.

TANUSHREE PAL AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents i.e. respondents No.4 & 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are major and have solemnized their marriage in accordance with law. However, respondents No.4 & 5 are not accepting their marriage and are adamant to separate them from each other by resorting to illegal means. Learned counsel further submits that in view of imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners, they had moved a representation dated 06.08.2018 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, District Patiala.

Notice of motion only to respondents No.1 to 3 at this stage.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Surinder Pal Singh Tinna, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of these respondents.

Let requisite number of copies of paper book be given to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Keeping in view the limited prayer made in the petition and without going into the validity of the marriage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate remedial measures, as warranted by law, on the representation dated 06.08.2018 (Annexure P-4) submitted by the petitioners.

In the meantime, necessary order be passed to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 & 5.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

07.08.2018
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No