

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3373-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.03.2018

Kashmir Singh @ Keena

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: None.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.156 dated 13.09.2014, under Sections 307/399/402/411/34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station City Gurdaspur, District Gurdaspur.

Pleadings on record would indicate that after registration of the FIR, petitioner was granted bail and was appearing before the trial Court. However, on 16.08.2017, petitioner did not appear and accordingly, non-bailable warrants to secure presence of the petitioner were issued.

Pleaded case is that the petitioner could not appear before the trial Court on the date in question as he had been admitted in Sandhu Nursing Home from 15.08.2017 till 09.12.2017 and was being treated for burn injuries that he had allegedly suffered.

Instant petition had come up for preliminary hearing before a Coordinate Bench on 01.02.2018 and time was sought by counsel to place on record the treatment chart of the petitioner so as to substantiate the

medical certificate dated 09.12.2017 (Annexure P-2) placed and appended on record.

Thereafter, repeated adjournments were sought by counsel to ensure compliance of the order dated 01.02.2018

On the last date of hearing i.e. on 08.03.2018, counsel did not come present.

Today again, even on second call, counsel has chosen not to appear.

The only inference that this Court can draw is that the petitioner is not interested to pursue the instant petition.

Petition is dismissed.

21.03.2018

harjeet

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |