

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-33705-2018
Date of decision: 13.11.2018**

Daljit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Ms. Sajida Akhtar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in complaint case bearing No.COMA/65/2017 dated 21.03.2017, titled as ***Abhishek Garg Vs. Daljit Singh***, filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act.

On 07.08.2018, the following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at the time when the proclamation was issued under Section 82/83 Cr.P.C., the petitioner was in custody in FIR No.206 of 2016, Police Station City Jagraon. It is further submitted that in fact, two complaints under Section 138 of N.I. Act were filed, one by respondent No.2 and another by his father. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that in the complaint filed by father of respondent No.2- complainant, the aforesaid fact was brought to the Court and having knowledge about the same, this fact was not brought to the notice of the trial Court, when the petitioner was declared a proclaimed offender vide order dated 12.04.2018. Learned counsel for the petitioner has

further submitted that vide order dated 17.07.2018 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, the petitioner has been granted the concession of anticipatory bail in a complaint filed by father of respondent No.2-complainant Sulakshan Garg. It is thus submitted that the petitioner is ready to appear before the trial Court and furnish the bail/surety bonds. Notice of motion for 13.11.2018.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 07.08.2018, has already appeared before the trial Court and has been released on interim bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds and on payment of cost of Rs. 3,000/- as imposed by this Court.

Learned counsel for the State has not disputed the aforesaid fact.

In view of the above, the present petition is allowed and order dated 07.08.2018 is made absolute.

November 13, 2018

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No