

RAJESH SHARMA VS STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

Present: Mr. Ashish Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Sheron, DAG, Haryana.

Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the present petition may be rendered infructuous as the State has filed a Revision Petition against the order declining the application under Section 173(8) of Cr.P.C. and the accused persons have also filed a Revision Petition, challenging the order of framing of charge and both the petitions are pending before the Court of Sessions.

In view of the same, the present petition is dismissed as infructuous with liberty to the petitioner to file afresh, if so required.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

22.10.2018
Ajay Goswami