

222

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-33687 of 2018
Date of decision: September 24, 2018

Rulia Ram

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Balraj Singh Rathi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. D. R. Singla, DAG., Haryana.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks concession of regular bail in case FIR No. 172 dated 1.7.2018 under Section 307 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Sadar, Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar.

The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and his son came to the spot armed with weapons and son of the petitioner shot the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that investigation in this case is complete and the challan stands presented. This is a case of no injury and the petitioner is a retired government official. Thus, he may be released on regular bail.

Learned State counsel opposes the prayer on the ground that the petitioner was also armed with weapons and he exhorted his son to fire. He, however does not dispute that the investigation is complete and that the fire

arm shot only grazed the hand of the complainant.

Considering the fact that the investigation in this case is complete and that the petitioner does not have any criminal antecedents being a retired government official, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars especially because examination of PWs has not commenced yet.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case, I deem it appropriate to allow this petition and grant regular bail to the petitioner.

Thus, the petition is allowed and the petitioner-Rulia Ram, is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court concerned.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

September 24, 2018
archana

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No