

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CRM-M-33655-2018

Date of Decision: 13.8.2018

Sunil Kumar

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Ashok Muthreja, DAG, Haryana.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.45 dated 11.1.2018 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 384, 420, 504, 506, 120-B of Indian Penal Code (for short, "IPC") and 66E, 67, 67A of Information & Technology Act at Police Station Civil Lines, Karnal, District Karnal.

Heard.

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that a compromise has been effected between the parties a quashing petition bearing CRM-M-30372-2018 has been filed which is pending consideration.

The prosecution case is a case of honey trap and it is alleged that the petitioner and co-accused are blackmailing the complainant.

Co-accused has already been granted bail by this Court in CRM-M-18855-2018 vide order dated 19.7.2018.

Without expressing any opinion on merits of the case and

keeping in view the fact that conclusion of trial will take considerably long time, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner-Sunil Kumar son of Krishan Lal is ordered to be released on regular bail on furnishing bail bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, subject to following terms:-

- (a) The petitioner shall comply with the conditions mentioned in Section 437(3) Cr.P.C.
- (b) In the event of his absence on any date of hearing, the benefit of bail allowed to the petitioner shall stand withdrawn. The trial Court shall be competent to cancel his bail bond and surety bond and proceed to procure his presence in accordance with law. In that eventuality the petitioner shall have to apply for bail afresh.
- (c) He shall not leave the country without the previous permission of the Court.

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

August 13, 2018

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No