

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

271

**CRM-M No. 33641 of 2018
Date of Decision : 06.09.2018**

Mamman and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present : Ms. Manjeet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Mohammad Arshad, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

P.B.BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, petitioners seek anticipatory bail under the provision of Section 438 Cr.P.C. in FIR No.101 dated 29.05.2018 under Sections 13(2) of the Haryana Gauthansh Sanrakshan and Gauthamvardhan Act and Sections 11-59-60 of AC Act, Sections 25-54-59 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Bichhore, District Nuh.

2. This Court vide order dated 07.08.2018 had directed the petitioners to join investigation and in the event of their arrest, they were ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Shiv Kumar states that in pursuance to the order dated 07.08.2018 the petitioners have joined investigation. That apart observations made by this court in the order

dated 07.08.2018 have gone un rebutted.

4. In view of these factual aspect and circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 07.08.2018, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioners, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioners will abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

5. However, the petitioners will not in any way indulge in any criminal activities and they will also not influence the witnesses or other wise interfere with the fair trial. This order would not come in the way of their custodial interrogation in any other matter.

6. Accordingly, the petition stands allowed.

06.09.2018
pooja saini

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No