

210.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33557-2018

Date of decision:04.10.2018.

BILAL

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.43 dated 11.03.2018 registered under Sections 5/13(2) of the Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015 at Police Station Bichhore, District Nuh.

The allegation against the petitioner is that he along with other co-accused is doing the work of cow slaughtering in Rajasthan after taking cows from the way of Hathangaon to Nogaon. The secret informer informed that if nakabandi is made on the way from Hathangaon to Nogaon, then the accused can be caught red handed. Accordingly, during nakabandi, seven persons were seen who were coming with the pair of two bulls from the side of village Hathangaon and after seeing the police party, they succeeded in fleeing away keeping the benefit of darkness and of the crops. They left the

cows there. The secret informer disclosed the names of the persons who run away as Rahish, Sadkin, Saddam, Sakir, Chini, Bilal (present petitioner) and Lala. After reaching near the cows, the police party found a pair of two bulls and cows were tied with each other. The police party taken into possession 4 cows and 4 bulls.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the case. Since it was darkness, it was difficult to recognize a person and, therefore, the petitioner was not recognized.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Shiv Kumar, has pointed out that the petitioner is in habit of committing such like cases. He is involved in as many as 9 cases of the similar offences.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the track record of the petitioner wherein he has been named as an accused in 9 other cases of similar nature, no case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made out.

Dismissed.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

04.10.2018
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No