

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-33530-2018
Date of decision: 18.07.2018**

Dimple and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Kashyap, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Naveen Mandhan, Advocate for
Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 4 to 8.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 8.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, AAG, Punjab, accepted notice on behalf of the official respondents whereas Mr. Naveen Mandhan, Advocate for Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate, accepted notice on behalf of the private respondents.

The matter was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court for recording the statement of the parties as respondent Nos. 4, 5 and 7, who are father, brother and cousin brother of the petitioner No. 1, respectively, have appeared and have requested to have a few words with petitioner No. 1. As per the report of the Mediator, respondent Nos. 4,

5 and 7 have no objection in case petitioner No. 1 has decided to live her own life and bear the consequences of her marriage with petitioner No. 2.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, this petition is disposed of by issuing a direction to respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib to look into the grievances of the petitioners as per representation (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate action to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners.

However, this order shall have no bearing on the validity of the marriage performed by the petitioners.

August 06, 2018
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No