

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3336 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision:21.02.2018

Jaswant Singh and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

AND

CRM-M-8469 of 2016 (O&M)

Hakikat Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr.A.P.Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Ramesh Kumar, AAG., Haryana.

Mr.P.S.Jammu, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

LISA GILL, J(Oral).

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-3336 of 2016 and CRM-M-8469 of 2016. For the sake of convenience, facts are extracted from CRM-M-3336 of 2016.

CRM-M-3336 of 2016 has been filed by Jaswant Singh, grandfather-in-law and Sukhminder Kaur, mother-in-law of the complainant. CRM-M-8469 of 2016 has been filed by the father-in-law of the complainant.

Petitioners seek the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR

No.392 dated 29.12.2015, under Sections 498-A, 406, 420, 506 IPC,

registered at Police Station Mandi Dabwali, District Sirsa.

It is submitted that all the family members of the complainant's husband have been falsely implicated in this case. It is contended that marriage between the grandson/ son of the petitioners was a simple affair. Video recording of the marriage which is available with the petitioners would reflect the same. The petitioners, it is submitted are old aged persons. They were living separately from the complainant and her husband and had nothing to do with their matrimonial life. The complainant's husband has been afforded the concession of bail pending trial. Final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C., has since been presented. Moreover, the petitioners had joined investigation and are not involved in any other criminal case. It is thus prayed that these petitions be allowed.

Learned counsel for the complainant as well as State affirms that the final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C., has since been presented and the complainant's husband has been afforded the concession of bail pending trial. It is to be noted that the matter was placed before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court. However, mediation was unsuccessful. These petitions were adjourned to enable learned counsel for the parties to seek specific instructions with regard to an amicable settlement between the parties. However, it is fairly stated by learned counsel for the petitioners as well as respondent no.3 that no clear cut instructions are forthcoming from any of the parties.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Krishan Kumar, affirms and verifies that the petitioners have joined investigation and are not involved in any other criminal case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above, but without commenting upon or expressing any opinion on the merits of the

case, these petitions are allowed. Consequently, order dated 01.02.2016 in CRM-M-3336 of 2016 and order dated 11.03.2016 in CRM-M-8469 of 2016, are made absolute.

It is clarified that none of the observations made hereinabove shall be construed to be a reflection on the merits of the case. The same are solely confined for the purpose of decision of the present petitions.

21.02.2018
s.khan

[LISA GILL]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.

Whether reportable : Yes/No.