

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.32483 of 2017

Date of Decision: 26.02.2018

Baldev Singh

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Suvir Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Aashish Bishnoi, Advocate for
Mr. J.S. Jaidka, Advocate
for respondent Nos.11, 12 and 13.

H.S. Madaan, J. (Oral)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking issuance of directions to respondent Nos.1 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members from the unnecessary harassment caused at the instance of respondent Nos.5 to 13 and issuing necessary directions to respondent No.2 to comply with the orders passed by this Court besides holding impartial and fair investigation in FIR No.110 dated 20.04.2016 registered under Sections 452, 427, 323, 341, 380, 506, 120-B IPC at Police Station Jodhewal.

Notice of motion was issued to the respondents. Respondent Nos.1 to 4 have appeared through State counsel whereas Mr. J.S. Jaidka, Advocate appeared on behalf of respondent Nos.11, 12 and 13 out of the private respondents impleaded.

CRM-M No.32483 of 2017

In the written reply, submitted on behalf of official respondents, it is contended that FIR No.110 dated 20.04.2016, was registered with Police Station Basti Jodhewal, Ludhiana, on the complaint of respondent No.6 to the effect that on 18.04.2016, at about 04.00 p.m. when he was sitting in his shop in the name of M/s Guraya Collections in Subhash Nagar, then petitioner along with 7-8 unidentified persons came to his shop with deadly weapons and started giving beatings to him and also caused damage to his shop. While going they also took away Rs.5050/- from his cash counter. As regards to the counter version given by the petitioner, that was inquired, but no truth was found therein rather it transpired that a verbal duel ensued between the petitioner and respondent party and thereafter petitioner party opened attack on the respondent No.6. That after completion of investigation in the FIR, challan has been filed in the Court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana on 04.10.2017, which is pending trial.

Under such circumstances, if the petitioner is still feeling aggrieved, he has got remedy of filing a private complaint in the Court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class having jurisdiction.

However, no action as regards the present petition is called for.

The present petition stands disposed of accordingly.

[H.S MADAAN]
JUDGE

February 26, 2018
sachin

Whether Speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No