

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

204

**CRM-M No.33289 of 2018
Date of Decision: 19.09.2018**

SATINDER KUMAR @ SONU	...Petitioner
Versus	
STATE OF PUNJAB	...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL.

Present:- Mr. Nandan Jindal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Walia, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner is the person mentioned in the FIR. He resides in New Rattan Nagar but has Barber shop in Raj Nagar.

Thus, the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner has been proved to be wrong.

The petition has thus, no merits and is dismissed as such.

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

September 19, 2018
jyoti3

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>