

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33266-2018

Date of Decision:20.09.2018

Gurpreet Singh @ Bitta Singh @ Bittu

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Rohit Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, A.A.G. Punjab.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

In the instant petition, petitioner has sought for anticipatory bail under the provision of Section 438 of Cr.P.C in case FIR No.91 dated 16.07.2018 registered under Section 61 of Excise Act at Police Station Nahianwala, Bathinda.

2. Petitioners were granted the benefit of interim bail vide order dated 7.8.2018 and directed to join investigation. In the meantime, in the event of arrest, he was ordered to release on ad-interim bail on his furnishing bond to the entire satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from HC Ranjit Singh submitted that pursuant to the order dated 7.8.2018, petitioner is stated to have joined the investigation. He further submitted on 8.8.2018 Section 473 IPC has been added and for further interrogation, presence of the petitioner is required in order to ascertain documents relating to a particular vehicle.

4. Accordingly, petitioner is hereby directed to join the investigation as and when called by the investigating officer for his presence. Order dated 7.8.2018 granting ad-interim bail to the petitioners is

made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2)

Cr.P.C. If there is no co-operation in the investigation, in that event, respondents are permitted to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

5. Petition stands allowed accordingly.

20.09.2018
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/No**

Whether reportable **Yes/No**