

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.33260 of 2018

Date of Decision: 20.09.2018

Shahid

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Ravi Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.K. Mittal, D.A.G., Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by accused Shahid for grant of regular bail to him in case FIR No.438 dated 07.11.2013 registered under Sections 379, 188, 395, 436, 307, 506 read with Section 120-B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act at Police Station Tauru, District Mewat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the case, whereas, there are general allegations against all persons including the petitioner and no specific role has been attributed to him. Co-accused of the petitioner, against whom similar allegations are there, have been acquitted by the trial Court as the complainant and injured have not supported the case of the prosecution. Said co-accused are Nisar, Deenu, Sumar and Parvej. Similar evidence is there against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 24.01.2018 and nothing is to be recovered from him. He is ready to appear before the

trial Court on each and every date.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the custody period and also the fact of acquittal of co-accused vide judgment dated 01.09.2017.

By considering that the petitioner is in custody since 24.01.2018 and also the fact that his co-accused have been acquitted by the trial Court vide judgment dated 01.09.2017 on similar evidence as is against the petitioner, the present petition is allowed and petitioner, namely, Shahid is directed to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

20.09.2018
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No