

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No. M-33214-2018

Date of Decision: 03.08.2018

State of Haryana

.. Petitioner

Vs.

Akash Verma

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana
for petitioner-State.

...

Inderjit Singh, J.

Petitioner State of Haryana has filed this petition under Section 439 (2) Cr.P.C read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to accused Akash Verma vide order dated 10.08.2016 passed by this Court in case FIR No.464 dated 05.05.2016, registered at Police Station Sadar Gurgaon, Haryana, under Sections 420, 406 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code (Sections 467, 468 and 471 of IPC added later on).

I have heard learned State counsel and gone through the record.

Learned State counsel argued that the anticipatory bail granted to Akash Verma vide order dated 10.08.2016 by this Court is liable to be cancelled as after passing of that order when accused Akash Verma joined the investigation, he had shown a forged agreement to the Investigating Officer and the offences under Sections 467, 468 and 471 of IPC have been added. The agreement has been found false after the grant of anticipatory bail and as argued by learned State counsel that is not the agreement which was executed by the complainant with the accused because the witnesses

stated that they have not signed on the agreement. Learned State counsel, therefore, argued that anticipatory bail granted to the accused should be cancelled.

I have gone through the order dated 10.08.2016 passed by this Court. The anticipatory bail has been granted without considering this forged agreement. There is nothing on record to show that he has misused the concession of bail, tampered with the evidence or given threat etc. to the complainant side.

No ground is made out for cancellation of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

03.08.2018
Jasmine Kaur

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No