

209 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

HEMLATA
2018.09.19 17:55
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRM-M-33212-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision:19.09.2018

Harpreet Singh @ Preet

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. Virendra Verma, Advocate with
Mr. Anmol Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

CRM-31062-2018

Prayer in this application is for placing on record the bail orders
and acquittal orders as Annexures P-3 to P-9.

The application is allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
Annexures P-3 to P-9 are taken on record.

CRM-M-33212-2018

Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C., is for
grant of bail pending trial in case FIR No.310 dated 13.10.2017 under
Sections 392, 394, 395, 397 of IPC, registered at Police Station Mahesh
Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is
not involved in the present case at all. The petitioner is involved only on
the basis of disclosure statement of the co-accused. After taking the
petitioner into custody, the police have fabricated a recovery of 150 gms of
silver from the petitioner. It is further contended that the petitioner is in
custody since 05.01.2018 and although charges have been framed, however,

the trial is yet to start. It is further submitted that the conclusion of the trial will take longer time and the petitioner is not required for any further investigation purposes.

Learned counsel for the State submits that there are seven more cases against the petitioner. However, it is not disputed that the petitioner is in custody since 05.01.2018 and that the evidence of the prosecution has not yet started.

In response to the pendency of the cases against the petitioner, counsel for the petitioner has placed on record the orders showing that the petitioner has been granted bail in all those cases. Although in some cases, the petitioner has not, so far, furnished bail bonds, awaiting the order of the present case. However, it is submitted by the counsel that except the present one, there is no other case against the petitioner where he has not been granted concession of bail by the Court.

In view the above, but without expressing any further opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail pending trial subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

19.09.2018
Hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether reportable	Yes / No