

**101 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-3320-2018
Date of decision-23.02.2018**

Avtar Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vivek K.Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This petition under Section 438 of the Criminal Procedure Code has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.261 dated 13.09.2017 registered under Section 354 of the Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') and Sections 8 and 12 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 at Police Station Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala.

Contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The present FIR has been filed by the complainant in connivance with the petitioner. Further he states that the petitioner was not named in the complaint dated 23.07.2017.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the case file.

This is a second bail petition. The first petition for grant of anticipatory bail was dismissed as withdrawn, vide order dated 01.12.2017. Allegedly there are specific allegations against the petitioner. Learned counsel has failed to indicate any fresh circumstance in favour of the petitioner during the interregnum period.

This Court feels that it is a fit case where custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required to unearth the truth.

Consequently, the present petition stands dismissed.

Anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

23.02.2018
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No