

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

112

RSA No. 2126 of 2003 (O&M)

Date of decision: 6.8.2018

PARVINDER KUMAR

.....appellant

Versus

VINOD KUMAR

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Dheeraj Jain, Advocate,
for the appellant

Mr. Munish K. Singla, Advocate
for the respondent.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J (oral)

CM No.12078-C of 2018

Present application has been filed for disposal of the appeal, which was admitted on 29.11.2005.

Both the parties are ad idem that an amicable settlement has been reached between the parties on 21.7.2018 and this appeal be disposed of in terms thereof. Copy of the compromise has been attached as Annexure A-1.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the main case is taken up for hearing today.

Application stands disposed of.

RSA No. 2126 of 2003

Civil suit for permanent injunction was filed by Parvinder Kumar (appellant) son of Late Sh. Meem Chand in respect of plot No.19, New Grain Market, Malerkotla District Sangrur. The suit was decreed by the trial Court. However, the appeal filed by the defendant/ second party was accepted and the suit was dismissed in appeal. The present regular second appeal has arisen out of the judgment and decree passed by the lower Appellate Court.

During pendency of the present appeal, both the parties have settled their dispute as per compromise dated 21.7.2018 (Ex.C-1). First party i.e. appellant Parvinder Kumar is legal heir of deceased Meem Chand. Vinod Kumar/ defendant has died. Ranjana Jindal widow of Vinod Kumar i.e. second party has participated in the process of compromise.

As per the aforesaid compromise, the second party would remain as owner in possession of plot No.19 and the first party has admitted the sale deed dated 11.9.1997 to be true and correct. The first party has given possession of the plot to the second party.

Parvinder Kumar is present in person along with his counsel. Ranjana Jindal widow of Sh.Vinod Kumar is also present in Court along with her counsel. Their statements are separately recorded as a token of confirmation to the aforesaid

compromise.

In the light of the compromise entered into between the parties, which has been duly endorsed by the parties in person, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the appeal.

Accordingly, the present appeal stands disposed of.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

August 06, 2018
anita

Whether reasoned/speaking **Yes/No**

Whether reportable **Yes/No**