

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-31983-2017
Date of decision: 19.09.2018**

Sukhdarshan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and to conduct the investigation in the representations (Annexures P-2 and P-3) given by the petitioner.

Reply, by way of affidavit of DSP, Rural Bathinda, is on record. As per reply, on receiving the complaints, filed by the petitioner, an inquiry was marked to the then DSP, Rural Bathinda and the petitioner was granted opportunity of proper hearing. After conducting the inquiry, DSP, Rural Bathinda submitted his report dated 14.10.2015 holding that complainant Sukhdarshan Singh was allotted a petrol pump and thereafter, as per report of the Indian Oil Corporation, he joined Kamla Devi as partner with 25% share and he retained 75% share. It is further submitted in the report that thereafter, the parties had a dispute which was later on compromised and it is thus concluded that the accusations made in the representations were not proved against Jeet Singh, who is the husband of partner of the petitioner, namely Kamla Devi and the dispute is primarily with regard to running of the said

petrol pump.

In view of the above, no further order is called for in the present petition and the same is disposed of.

September 19, 2018

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No