

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32807-2018

Date of decision:03.10.2018

Rohini @ Renu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Singh Choudhary, Addl. A.G., Haryana
assisted by ASI Vinod Kumar.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case registered vide FIR No.668 dated 10.11.2017 under Sections 302, 201, 120-B, 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station Sadar Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar.

The FIR was registered at the instance of Sanju who has alleged that his father namely Dharamvir is running a departmental shop at bus stand village Badli and that on 10.11.2017 when the complainant along with his father was sitting at the shop then two young boys came to

his shop and fired at his father and ran away from the spot along with their weapons. It is alleged that his father on account of injuries sustained by him died at the spot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that even as per the FIR it is only two young boys who allegedly came to the shop of deceased and fired at Dharamvir-deceased and that the present petitioner is neither named in the FIR nor any role has been attributed to her and that in these circumstances the petitioner deserves to be released on bail.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel has submitted that pursuant to identification of the two accused namely Hitesh and Parveen they were arrested and interrogated and that during the course of interrogation Parveen had made a disclosure statement to the effect that they along with the present petitioner had conspired at the residence of the petitioner and that the petitioner had suggested to extort money from the deceased and that in pursuance to the said conspiracy Parveen and Hitesh had gone to the shop of the deceased and had demanded money from him and upon his refusal they had killed him.

I have considered rival submissions addressed before this Court. It is only on the basis of disclosure statement of the co-accused that the petitioner is sought to be involved in the present case. She is not alleged to have caused any injury to the deceased. Bearing in mind the aforesaid facts and also that the petitioner is a lady and challan has already been presented, in my opinion, no fruitful purpose would be served by further detaining the petitioner behind bars. Accordingly, petitioner-Rohini @ Renu is ordered to be released on bail on her

furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate Jhajjar.

It is, however, clarified that none of the observations made above shall be taken to be an expression on merits of the main case.

This petition stands accepted accordingly.

03.10.2018
Gaurav Sorot

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking? **Yes / No**

Whether reportable? **Yes / No**