

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32728-2018

Date of Decision:11.09.2018

Sunil Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Prateek Rathee, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Doon, A.A.G. Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

In the instant petition, petitioner has sought for regular bail under the provision of Section 439 of Cr.P.C in case FIR No.263 dated 21.08.2017 registered under Sections 302/34 IPC and 25 of Arms Act and Section 3(2)(V) of the SC/ST Act at Police Station City Rewari.

2. Status report filed by the State in Court today is taken on record.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner do not want to go through the status report and he wants to address arguments. Learned counsel for the petitioner in support of regular bail submitted that petitioner has been falsely implicated. There is lot of discrepancies in the entire proceedings relating to shifting of body of the deceased. Father's name has been wrongly shown. Further there is no identification in particularly whether petitioner is involved in the matter or not.

4. The aforesaid contentions are very vague which is not supported by any document. Therefore, aforesaid contentions are not appreciable. In the absence of pin pointing to a particular document so as to apprise the discrepancies as contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court cannot take into consideration the ground urged by the

petitioner. Learned State counsel pointed out from the affidavit that petitioner was duly identified by PW-7 and PW-8 namely Raj Kumar and Yogesh Kumar as one of the pillion rider on motorcycle. Therefore, petitioner has not made out a case for regular bail.

5. Accordingly, petition stands dismissed.

11.09.2018
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/No**

Whether reportable **Yes/No**