

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-31789-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-16.05.2018.

Jang Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Nitin Rampal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Senior DAG, Punjab.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in Complaint Case No.COMI/112/15 dated 03.07.2015 titled as “**Harmeet Singh Vs. Chinda Singh**” under Sections 307/326/323/324/ 506/452/148/149 of the IPC pending in the Court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, District Fazilka.

2.) Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in the present case, police filed cancellation report but the Trial Court refused to accept the report and ordered to summon the accused to face trial.

3.) This Court vide order dated 29.08.2017 had directed the petitioners to join investigation and in the event of their arrest, they were ordered to be released on ad interim bail to the entire satisfaction of Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438

(2) Cr.P.C.

4.) Learned State counsel, on instructions from Head Constable Gurcharan Singh states that in pursuance to the order dated 29.08.2017 petitioners have joined investigation. That apart observations made by this court in the order dated 29.08.2017 have gone unrebutted.

5.) In view of these facts and circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 29.08.2017, granting ad interim bail to the petitioners, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

6.) The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

May 16, 2018.
sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.