

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-31773-2017
Date of decision: 08.02.2018**

Diya @ Lovely & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Ferry Sofat, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vaibhav Jain, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 691 dated 26.11.2014, registered under Sections 323, 427, 452, 455, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Central, District Faridabad and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 29.07.2017 (Annexure P-2) entered into between the parties.

The marriage of respondent No. 2 was solemnized on 02.03.2014 with petitioner No. 1 Diya @ Loverly as per Hindu rites and rituals. However, due to temperamental differences between the husband and wife, matrimonial dispute arose and an FIR bearing No. 233 dated 25.05.2014 came to be registered under Sections 498-A, 506, 323, 342, 307, 406 and 34 of the IPC was registered at the same police station at the behest of the petitioner No. 1 herein against respondent No. 2 and his family members and the instant FIR is a counterblast to that FIR. However, now

with the intervention of respectable persons, the matrimonial dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and they have entered into a compromise. In fact, the parties decided to part their ways peacefully and now they have obtained a decree of divorce under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from JMIC at Faridabad, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same appears to be genuine one. It is also reported that no PO proceeding is pending against either of the parties.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, and learned counsel for respondent No. 2 admit to the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, they would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the rival parties and gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate

conviction are bleak.

Vide order of the even date passed by this Court in **CRM-M-30778-2017**, FIR No. 233 dated 25.05.2014, registered under Sections 498-A, 506, 323, 342, 307, 406 and 34, which was registered at the behest of the petitioner No. 1 herein, stands quashed.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh & others vs. State of Punjab & another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 691 dated 26.11.2014, registered under Sections 323, 427, 452, 455, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Central, District Faridabad and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioners herein.

The petition stands disposed of.

08.02.2018

Waseem Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No