

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3264-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.08.2018

RAHUL VERMA ..Petitioner(s)
Versus
STATE OF PUNJAB ..Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Ms. Monika Aroa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. M.S. Saini, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(Oral)

Report of the Mediator has been received that parties have failed to reach at any amicable settlement.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that they had gone to give whatever articles were with them including the gold set and the gold ring, which the complainant refused to take.

State counsel informs that complainant had refused to take the items.

Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 25.01.2018 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

August 14, 2018

Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No