

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 32526 of 2018
Date of Decision:-24.09.2018**

Sumit Singh Rana and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Amit Srivastava, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Raj Kumar Verma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR J.(Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.64 dated 25.11.2015, under Sections 498-A, 406, 323, 506, 34 IPC, registered at Police station Women, Narnaul, District Mahendergarh (Annexure P/1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure P/2).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant- on the allegations that the accused-petitioners used to make demand of dowry and harassed her mentally and physically. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between

the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Today respondent No.2-Nisha is present before the Court and recorded her statement separately to the effect that she has received the total amount due in terms of the compromise effected between her and the petitioners and the divorce has already been granted and as such she does not have any objection if the present FIR alongwith consequential proceedings is quashed qua petitioners.

Learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana has filed the reply. Same is taken on record. But in view of the position stated above, he does not oppose the position and admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have also no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble

Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.64 dated 25.11.2015, under Sections 498-A, 406, 323, 506, 34 IPC, registered at Police station Women, Narnaul, District Mahendergarh (Annexure P/1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of.

September 24, 2018
Vijay Asija

(**JAISHREE THAKUR**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No