

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

340

CRM-M No.32150 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 29.11.2018

RATTAN SINGH

....PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA

....RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Jayender S. Chandail, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Brijesh Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.159 dated 19.06.2018, registered under Sections 147, 148, 149, 307, 341, 342, 365 IPC at Police Station Narwana City, District Jind.

In the gist of the FIR at paragraph No.3 of the petition, allegations made are as under:-

“That the present FIR has been registered at the instance of Sanjay son of Ram Kalan on the allegations that he is a driver on the vehicle of Ex.MC Vijay son of Situ Ram and on 18.06.2018 at about 12/12:30 PM he was going on motorcycle towards market where Parveen son of Sheela was also behind him and was talking on mobile and when he reached near Khadi Chowk Narwana, MC Krishan was sitting alongwith 2-3 unknown person in Car make Verna and one unknown person came towards him and forcibly made him to sit in the car where MC Krishan was sitting in the car having gun and took me towards

Bail Rakhan village from there they took me at Raju Chadha house in Kaithal. There, they asked me to call Vijay at Indira Colony, Narwana Temple and out of fear I called him at Balmiki Temple, Indira Colony, Narwana. I saw car of Vijay from a distance and told them that Vijay has reached at temple thereafter they dropped me at around 5.15/5.30 PM and I saw that MC Krishan placed his car before the Car of Vijay and Vijay tried to run away in his car then they MC Krishan with is pistol fired at Vijay but Vijay by somehow saved himself and ran away. The copy of FIR is attached as Annexure P-1.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the official present in Court, has stated that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is no more required for custodial interrogation.

In the circumstances, without going into the merits of the case, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case. Ordered accordingly.

Resultantly, the interim order dated 31.07.2018 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands allowed.

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

November 29, 2018
rajneesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No