

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3204-2018

Date of Decision:21.04.2018

Sachin Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of UT, Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr.Ravi Sodhi, Advocate,
for respondents no.4 and 5.

Mr.Sumit Jain, Advocate,
for the UT, Chandigarh.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The application seeking advancement of date of hearing (CRM-6612-2018) already having been allowed vide an order of this Court dated 28.03.2018, that application has been wrongly shown to be listed in the cause list, with actually the main petition to be taken up for hearing.

As already noticed in the aforesaid order dated 28.03.2018, the contention of learned counsel appearing for respondents no.4 and 5, is that petitioner no.2, i.e. Pravin, is actually only 15 years old, respondents no.4 and 5 being her parents.

Consequently, this Court had directed that though no final opinion was being expressed on the aforesaid contention made on behalf of the said respondents, however the order earlier passed (by this Court) on 24.01.2018, would not be taken as a bar on any proceedings taken as per law, pursuant to FIR no.0048 having been registered at Police Station Charthawal,

District Muzaffarnagar (UP), on 23.01.2018, alleging therein the

commission of offences punishable under Sections 363 and 366 IPC, on account of the fact that as per the parents of petitioner no.2, she is less than even 15 years of age.

None having appeared for the petitioners even today, as was the position on 28.03.2018 and 06.04.2018, this petition is dismissed.

April 21, 2018

dharamvir

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	: YES/NO
Whether Reportable	: YES/NO